International Journal of Healthcare Sciences ISSN 2348-5728 (Online)
Vol. 5, Issue 1, pp: (211-216), Month: April - September 2017, Available at: www.researchpublish.com

Roles of Primary Care in Preventing and Management of Diabetes, Review

Emad Hussain M Alagsam

Abstract: **The primary objective of this review study was to overview the roles of family practice in the prevention and treatment of diabetes. Following electronic databases (Medline, Embase and HealthStar) were used for compehnsive search that was performed using the following Mesh headings: Family Practice or General Practice, and Primary care, diabetes Mellitus, Glucose intolerance, prevention, Quality Control or Continuous Quality Improvement of diabetes prevention. The search was limited to English language published articles up to May, 2017. Patients with diabetes mellitus are commonly taken care of in medical care setups and have a considerable concern of diabetes-related comorbidity. Whereas treatment of hyperglycemia is somewhat successful, control of cardiovascular risk factors is poor as well as remains a significant challenge. family medicine methods performed far better at offering some kinds of diabetes mellitus care (largely checking tests) than physician-only practices and particularly much better than techniques utilizing physician's assistants. Creating efficiency signs for primary health care organisations more especially concentrating on the evaluation and administration of behavioural risk factors in patients at risk of developing DM might assist pervention in health care.**

Keywords: **diabetes Mellitus, Glucose intolerance, prevention, family practice, General Practice.**

1. INTRDUCTION

Diabetes mellitus (DM) and its associated disease outcomes are a growing concern worldwide. The existing worldwide occurrence of DM for any ages has actually been approximated at 2.8% and is anticipated to get to 4.4% by 2030 [1]. In the United States (U.S.), the frequency of identified DM was estimated at 5.1% in 1997 for grownups in between the ages of 40 and 74 years [2]. In 2002, Damaged glucose resistance, typically qualified by hyperglycaemia and also insulin resistance, is taken into consideration to be a phase in the advancement of type 2 diabetes. Approximately half of all people with damaged glucose tolerance will certainly proceed to type 2 diabetes within 10 years of diagnosis3. Furthermore, individuals with damaged glucose tolerance are known to be at substantially enhanced risk of heart disease, which might offer prior to the beginning of diabetes4. Research studies in the United Kingdom have actually reported the occurrence of impaired sugar tolerance in the 35-65 year age group to be around 17% [5].

Effective diabetic issues monitoring in Family method can reduce the event and also progression of several further issues [6,7,8,9,10,11]. nonetheless; lots of nations have developed medical technique standards (CPGs) for health care physicians to promote extensive care as well as monitoring of patients with type 2 DM. Previously in Canada, for example 2 sets of CPGs for diabetes mellitus care have been published. The Canadian Diabetes Advisory Board in association with the Canadian Diabetes Association published the first set in 1992[12]. these were changed in 1998 and converted into evidence-based, graded CPGs, which sustained extra aggressive testing and also therapy for diabetes mellitus and related complications[13].

Primary healthcare could stop many common diabetes problems, consisting of heart disease, stroke, nephropathy, neuropathy[14], as well as retinopathy. The American Diabetes Association (ADA) suggests that individuals with diabetic issues obtain at the very least biannual hemoglobin A1C (HbA1C) tracking, yearly retinal examination to evaluate or check for retinopathy, and also annual microalbuminuria testing to display for nephropathy[15].The shipment of ADA-recommended solutions has actually been approximated to need 2 to 4 annual medical check outs for a lot of patients with diabetes[15].

Regardless of the performance of preventative care for diabetic issues, lots of patients do not obtain recommended services16. One adding factor might be that some patients merely do not make routine center brows through for diabetes mellitus care, as well as patients that get irregular outpatient monitoring could be much less most likely to get suggested precautionary services[17,18]. Acute ailments account for the bulk of primary care brows through, and also medical professionals are a lot less likely to carry out jobs that could help with prevention throughout acute ailment visits19. Hence, longitudinally, both the amount and also content of outpatient care might affect the distribution of prompt preventative solutions for diabetes mellitus.

In previous tracks [20] involving general practitioners (GPs) has shown that, although they know damaged glucose tolerance, they do not recognize its relevance in relation to the risk of subsequent diabetic issues, and that they significantly undervalue its frequency in their method. They additionally want assistance regarding boost their job, if anything; they need to do regarding DM medical diagnosis and also administration. Sights of GPs and method have likewise been defined for evaluating for type 2 diabetes mellitus,[21] yet their views regarding the recognition of individuals 'in jeopardy' and treatments based in health care have actually not yet been reported.

The primary objective of this review study was to overview the roles of family practice in the prevention and treatment of diabetes.

2. METHODOLOGY

Following electronic databases (Medline, Embase and HealthStar) were used for compehnsive search that was performed using the following Mesh headings: Family Practice or General Practice, and Primary care, diabetes Mellitus, Glucose intolerance, prevention, Quality Control or Continuous Quality Improvement of diabetes prevention. The search was limited to English language published articles up to May, 2017. We then searched the reference lists of the retrieved articles and hand searched the main journals with family practice content worldwide.

3. RESULTS

As Glanz et al,[22] has actually mentioned in his research study that health care medicine requires the assistance of public health or community treatments for primary prevention. Also needs the support of the treatment system by examining risk standing, talking about risk and referring to a proven community-based prevention program is a crucial role for the health care practitioner. For many individuals, certain motivation by their health care practitioner is a vital consider doing something about it to boost their health and this is a really efficient way in preventing Diabetes Mellitus in family practice[22].

Thepwongsa et alia [28] stated that general practitioners (GPs) have a significant function to play in diabetic issues care[28]. Colagiuri et al. [29] specified primary prevention of T2DM, as prevention of diabetes beginning, has been shown under trial conditions via lifestyle alterations, pharmacotherapy, and surgical strategies to reduce obesity [29]. the very same research Colagiuri et al [29] entailed Australian guidelines mention that progression to T2DM can be avoided; Evidence degree I. According to Knowler et al [30,31] three spots tests have actually added to this proof: the Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study (DPS), the US-based Diabetes Prevention Programme (DPP), and also the Da-Qing Study in China. 2 recent research studies Chasan-Taber, Oostdam et al., [32,33] have actually found that Lifestyle and dietary strategies have likewise been used among females with gestational diabetic issues mellitus (GDM) or at risk for developing GDM as well as, although there is a requirement for better-designed studies, there seems some proof of advantage [32,33].

Shephard et alia 34demonstred the efficient of GP-based diabetes mellitus monitoring programmes in rural areas is the Diabetes Management Along the Mallee Track, which incorporated community risk assessment as well as point-ofcare screening (PoCT) to manage patients with identified diabetes mellitus (T1DM and T2DM) in collaboration with local GPs. (Shephard et al., 2005). This solution was used regardless of diabetic issues type and also after 10 months the percent of individuals achieving good glycaemic control (HbA1c) boosted from 59 %to 91%. PoCT diabetes monitoring programs have actually been carried out in a number of country and also urban setups including Aboriginal communities, and also a certification program has been established for ongoing execution (Shephard, 2006). Patient and GP fulfillment with PoCT programs is high, as well as substantial improvement in diabetes management is continually observed.

Nonetheless, an organized review Gialamas et al [35] discovered that a lack of good quality, lasting follow-up research studies avoided overall final thoughts being made use of the effectiveness of PoCT in the general method setup.

A systematic review and meta-analysis Merlotti et al [36] included 71 researches focused on avoidance of T2DM located that general research study quality was poor, but the proof indicated substantial efficiency for antidiabetic medicines, exercise with diet, diet regimen alone, physical activity or education and learning, antihypertensive medicines, and lipid-lowering drugs, yet higher performance of bariatric surgical procedure among the morbidly obese 36. These end results suggest that there may be several techniques for avoidance of T2DM.and for that reason Prevention of DM 2 can be achieved with way of living modifications and also making use of some medications and also normal screening by family doctor.

Early Diagnosis of diabetes in Primary care:

For decades, the diagnosis of diabetes was based on plasma glucose criteria, either the fasting plasma glucose (FPG) or the 2-h value in the 75-g oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) [23].

View studies and guidelines which were included in our review showed very efficient approach for early prediction of DM. In 2009 an International Expert Committee that included representatives of the American Diabetes Association (ADA), the International Diabetes Federation (IDF), and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD) recommended the use of the A1C test to diagnose diabetes, with a threshold of ≥6.5% [24], and ADA adopted this criterion in 2010 [23].

The diagnostic test should be performed using a method that is certified by the National Glycohemoglobin Standardization Program (NGSP) and standardized or traceable to the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial (DCCT) reference assay. Point-of-care A1C assays, for which proficiency testing is not mandated, are not sufficiently accurate at this time to use for diagnostic purposes.

Other identified [25,26] studies show a similar relationship between A1C and risk of retinopathy as has been shown for the corresponding FPG and 2-h PG thresholds. The A1C has several advantages to the FPG and OGTT, including greater convenience (since fasting is not required), evidence to suggest greater preanalytical stability, and less day-to-day perturbations during periods of stress and illness. These advantages must be balanced by greater cost, the limited availability of A1C testing in certain regions of the developing world, and the incomplete correlation between A1C and average glucose in certain individuals. In addition, HbA1c levels may vary with patients' race/ethnicity [25,26].

We also identified an important prospective study [27] that was performed in the Team 1 Family Medicine Health Center Kalesija. During the study period of 6 months, one group was extensively educated on changing lifestyle (healthy nutrition and increased physical activity), the second group was treated with 500 mg metformin twice a day, while the control group was advised about diet and physical activities but different from the first two groups, at beginning of the study, all patients were measured initial levels of blood glucose, HbA1C, BMI, body weight and height and waist size. Also the same measurements were taken at the end of the conducted research, 6 months later. For the assessment of diabetes control was conducted fasting plasma glucose (FPG) test and 2 hours after a glucose load, and HbA1C. The study showed Lifestyle modification (diet and increased physical activity) improves glycemic regulation and reduces obesity, can prevent or delay the onset of developing type 2 diabetes. Pharmacological treatment with metformin also reduces the risk, although less dramatically. It is assumed, that the good and ongoing diabetes prevention through education about the benefits of lifestyle change and metformin treatment could lead to a reduction in atherosclerosis and other cardiovascular diseases, which are the main cause of death in people with type 2 diabetes[27].

Physical activity and diet in prevention T2DM in primary care:

Several studies [37,38,39,40,41] were identified discussing Physical activity, diet and obesity Brief interventions to promote physical activity or healthy eating in low risk patients in primary care have not been demonstrated to be universally effective. However evidence is stronger for patients at high risk of cardiovascular or other chronic diseases especially where moderate to high intensity interventions were used. Such interventions include those which aim to achieve at least 30 minutes of moderate physical activity each day, less than 10% of diet energy as saturated fat and 30% as total fat, increased fruit and vegetable intake and reduced calories and 5% reduction in body weight if overweight or obese. The more effective programs enlist family involvement, use group counseling and provide tailored advice and follow up [37,38,39,40,41].

4. CONCLUSION

Patients with diabetes mellitus are commonly taken care of in medical care setups and have a considerable concern of diabetes-related comorbidity. Whereas treatment of hyperglycemia is somewhat successful, control of cardiovascular risk factors is poor as well as remains a significant challenge. family medicine methods performed far better at offering some kinds of diabetes mellitus care (largely checking tests) than physician-only practices and particularly much better than techniques utilizing physician's assistants. Creating efficiency signs for primary health care organisations more especially concentrating on the evaluation and administration of behavioural risk factors in patients at risk of developing DM might assist pervention in health care.

REFERENCES

[1] Wild S, Roglic G, Green A. et al. Global prevalence of diabetes: estimates for the year 2000 and projections for 2030. Diabetes Care. 2004 May;27(5):1047–53.

[2] Harris MI, Flegal KM, Cowie CC. et al. Prevalence of diabetes, impaired fasting glucose, and impaired glucose tolerance in U.S. adults: the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1988-1994. Diabetes Care. 1998 Apr;21(4):518–24.

[3] Alberti KG. Impaired glucose tolerance: what are the clinical implications? Diabetes Res Clin Pract.1998;40 (suppl):S3–S8.

[4] Tominaga M, Eguchi H, Manaka H, Igarashi K, Kato T, Sekikawa A. Impaired glucose tolerance is a risk factor for cardiovascular disease, but not impaired fasting glucose. The Funagata diabetes study. Diabetes Care. 1999;22:920–924.

[5] Davies M, Gray I. Impaired glucose tolerance. BMJ. 1996;312:264–265.

[6] The Diabetes Control and Complications Trial Research Group. The effect of intensive treatment of diabetes on the development and progression of long-term complications in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. N Engl J Med 1993;329(14):977-86.

[7] Parving HH, Oxenboll B, Svendsen PA, Christiansen JS, Andersen AR. Early detection of patients at risk of developing diabetic nephropathy. A longitudinal study of urinary albumin excretion. Acta Endocrinol (Copenh) 1982;100:550-5.

[8] UK Prospective Diabetes Study Group. UKPDS 28: a randomized trial of efficacy of early addition of metformin in sulfonylurea-treated type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care 1998;21:87-92.

[9] UK Prospective Diabetes Study Group. Efficacy of atenolol and captopril in reducing risk of macrovascular and microvascular complications in type 2 diabetes: UKPDS 39. [see comments]. BMJ 1998;317:713-20.

[10] UK Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) Group. Intensive blood-glucose control with sulphonylureas or insulin compared with conventional treatment and risk of complications in patients with type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 33) Lancet 1998;352(9131):837-53.

[11] Ohkubo Y, Kishikawa H, Araki E, Miyata T, Isami S, Motoyoshi S, et al. Intensive insulin therapy prevents the progression of diabetic microvascular complications in Japanese patients with non–insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus: a randomized prospective 6-year study [see comments]. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 1995;28(2):103-17.

[12] Expert Committee of the Canadian Diabetes Advisory Board. Clinical Practice Guidelines for treatment of diabetes mellitus. Can Med Assoc J 1992;147:697-712.

[13] Meltzer S, Leiter L, Daneman D, Gerstein HC, Lau D, Ludwig S, et al. 1998 clinical practice guidelines for the management of diabetes in Canada. Canadian Diabetes Association. Can Med Assoc J 1998;159(Suppl 8):S1-29.

[14] Vijan S, Stevens DL, Herman WH, Funnell MM, Standiford CJ. Screening, prevention, counseling, and treatment for the complications of type II diabetes mellitus: putting evidence into practice. J Gen Intern Med.1997;12:567–580.

[15] American Diabetes Association: clinical practice recommendations 2000. Diabetes Care. 2000;23(Suppl 1):S1–S116.

[16] Beckles GL, Engelgau MM, Narayan KM, et al. Population-based assessment of the level of care among adults with diabetes in the U.S. Diabetes Care. 1998;21:1432–1438.

[17] Streja DA, Rabkin SW. Factors associated with implementation of preventive care measures in patients with diabetes mellitus. Arch Intern Med. 1999;159:294–302.

[18] Hippisley-Cox J, Pringle M. Prevalence, care, and outcomes for patients with diet-controlled diabetes in general practice: cross sectional survey. Lancet. 2004;364:423–428.

[19] Yawn B, Goodwin MA, Zyzanski SJ, Stange KC. Time use during acute and chronic illness visits to a family physician. Fam Pract. 2003;20:474–477

[20] Wylie G, Pali A, Hungin S, Neely J. Impaired glucose tolerance: qualitative and quantitative study of general practitioners' knowledge and perceptions. BMJ. 2002;324:1190–1192.

[21] Whitford DL, Lamont SS, Crosland A. Screening for type 2 diabetes: is it worthwhile? Views of general practitioners and practice nurses. Diabet Med. 2003;20:155–158.

[22] Glanz K. Progress in dietary behavior change. Am J Health Promot1999;14:112-17.

[23] American Diabetes Association Diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Care2012;35(Suppl 1):S64–S71

[24] International Expert Committee International Expert Committee report on the role of the A1C assay in the diagnosis of diabetes. Diabetes Care 2009;32:1327–1334.

[25] Ziemer DC, Kolm P, Weintraub WS, et al. Glucose-independent, black-white differences in hemoglobin A1c levels: a cross-sectional analysis of 2 studies. Ann Intern Med 2010;152:770–777.

[26] Kumar PR, Bhansali A, Ravikiran M, et al. Utility of glycated hemoglobin in diagnosing type 2 diabetes mellitus: a community-based study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2010;95:2832–2835.

[27] Esad Alibasic, Enisa Ramic, and Alma Alic. Prevention of Diabetes in Family Medicine. Mater Sociomed. 2013; 25(2): 80–82. doi: 10.5455/msm.2013.25.80-82.

[28] THEPWONGSA, I., KIRBY, C., PAUL, C. & PITERMAN, L. 2014. Management of type 2 diabetes: Australian rural and remote general practitioners' knowledge, attitudes, and practices. Rural Remote Health, 14, 2499.

[29] COLAGIURI, S., VITA, P., CARDONA-MORRELL, M., SINGH, M. F., FARRELL, L., MILAT, A., HAAS, M. & BAUMAN, A. 2010. The Sydney Diabetes Prevention Program: A community-based translational study. BMC Public Health, 10, 328.

[30] KNOWLER, W. C., BARRETT-CONNOR, E., FOWLER, S. E., HAMMAN, R. F., LACHIN, J. M., WALKER, E. A. & NATHAN, D. M. 2002. Reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes with lifestyle intervention or metformin. N Engl J Med, 346, 393-403.

[31] KNOWLER, W. C., FOWLER, S. E., HAMMAN, R. F., CHRISTOPHI, C. A., HOFFMAN, H. J., BRENNEMAN, A. T., BROWN-FRIDAY, J. O., GOLDBERG, R., et al. 2009. 10-year follow-up of diabetes incidence and weight loss in the Diabetes Prevention Program Outcomes Study. Lancet, 374, 1677-86.

[32] CHASAN-TABER, L. 2014. Lifestyle interventions to reduce risk of diabetes among women with prior gestational diabetes mellitus. Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol.

[33] OOSTDAM, N., VAN POPPEL, M. N., WOUTERS, M. G. & VAN MECHELEN, W. 2011. Interventions for preventing gestational diabetes mellitus: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Womens Health (Larchmt), 20, 1551-63.

[34] SHEPHARD, M. D., MAZZACHI, B. C., SHEPHARD, A. K., MCLAUGHLIN, K. J., DENNER, B. & BARNES, G. 2005. The impact of point of care testing on diabetes services along Victoria's Mallee Track: Results of a community-based diabetes risk assessment and management program. Rural Remote Health, 5, 371.

[35] GIALAMAS, A., ST JOHN, A., LAURENCE, C. O. & BUBNER, T. K. 2010. Point-of-care testing for patients with diabetes, hyperlipidaemia or coagulation disorders in the general practice setting: A systematic review. Fam Pract, 27, 17-24.

[36] MERLOTTI, C., MORABITO, A. & PONTIROLI, A. E. 2014. Prevention of type 2 diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis of different intervention strategies. Diabetes Obes Metab, 16, 719-727.

[37] Smith BJ, Bauman AE, Bull FC,et al. Promoting physical activity in general practice: acontrolled trial of written advice & information materials.British J Sports Med 2000; 34: 262-267.

[38] US Preventive Services Task Force. Behavioural counselling in primary care to promotephysical activity: recommendation and rationale. Annals of Internal Medicine 2002; 137: 205-7

[39] Steptoe A, Perkins-Porras L, McKay C, Rink E, Hilton S, Cappuccio FP. Behavioural counselling to increase consumption of fruit and vegetables in low income adults: randomised trial. British Medical Journal 2003;326:855-7.

[40] US Preventive Services Task Force. Behavioural counselling in primary care to promote a healthy diet. Recommendations and rational. American J of Preventive Medicine 2003; 24: 93-100

[41] Elley CR, Kerse N, Arroll B, Robinson E. Effectiveness of counselling patients on physicalactivity in general practice: cluster randomised controlled trial. British Medical J 2003;326:793.